

According to Section 36(1) of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports, the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports registered a register of the following: 2 of Act No. 111/1998 Coll., on higher education institutions and on the amendment and amendment of other laws (Higher Education Act), on 17 July 2017 under MsMT-19435/2017 Study and Examination Regulations for study in doctoral study programmes of Jan Evangelista Purkyně University in Usti nad Labem.

Changes to the Study and Examination Regulations for study in doctoral study programmes of Jan Evangelista Purkyně University in Usti nad Labem have been registered by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports pursuant to § 36 para. 2 and 5 of the Higher Education Act on 16 May 2018 under MSMT-13607/2018.

I.
FULL TEXT
STUDY AND EXAMINATION REGULATIONS FOR STUDY
IN DOCTORAL STUDY PROGRAMMES
JAN EVANGELISTA PURKYNĚ UNIVERSITY IN USTI NAD LABEM
OF 16 MAY 2018

Art. 1
Introductory provisions

1. Jan Evangelista Purkyně University in Usti nad Labem (hereinafter referred to as "UJEP") and its faculties implement pursuant to Sections 2 and 23 of Act No. 111/1998 Coll., on higher education institutions and on the amendment and amendment of other laws (Higher Education Act), as amended, (hereinafter referred to as the "Act") study programmes of bachelor's, master's and doctoral degree programmes, on the basis of the accreditation of a degree programme or on the basis of the right to carry out a degree programme resulting from institutional accreditation.
2. These Study and Examination Regulations apply to doctoral study programmes carried out by UJEP faculties, unless faculties comply with the Study and Examination Regulations for study in the doctoral study programmes of the faculty, which is their internal regulation (Section 33(2)(f) of the Act).
3. These Study and Examination Regulations apply to students and staff, as well as to other persons covered by the provisions of these Study and Examination Regulations.
4. The Rector may delegate his or her power to decide on matters under these Study and Examination Regulations in writing to the relevant Vice-Rector and dean to the relevant Vice-Dean. An exception to this provision is decisions on admission, interruption and termination of studies for which there is a reservation by law for the Rector or the Dean.
5. If students, as well as other persons within the meaning of paragraph 3, request a decision on the matter under this Dean's Study and Examination Regulations, they are obliged to do so in writing through the study department of the relevant faculty.
6. If students, as well as other persons within the meaning of paragraph 3, request a decision on a matter under these Rector's Study and Examination Regulations, they are obliged to do so in writing through the Dean in accordance with the procedure referred to in paragraph 5.
7. Cooperation between other higher education institutions and other legal entities based in the Czech Republic engaged in educational and creative activities (hereinafter referred to as "institutions") in the implementation of studies in a doctoral degree programme is defined in accordance with the accreditation or the right to carry out a doctoral degree programme by mutual agreements.
8. UJEP publishes in the public part of its website a list of doctoral study programmes it implements, including the form of study, the standard period of study, information about their availability for persons with disabilities and the name of the faculty carrying out the doctoral study programme.

Art. 2
Doctoral degree programme

1. The doctoral study programme shall be part of the requirements referred to in § 44 para. 2 of the law.
Internal regulations of Jan Evangelista Purkyně University in Usti nad Labem

2. The form of study expresses whether it is a study:
 - a) full-time, in which the student is assumed to be present in the teaching premises of UJEP or cooperating institutions (allows regular participation in lectures, seminars and other educational activities),
 - b) distance, in which the student is assumed to be mostly independently prepared (without regular participation in educational activities),
 - c) combined, combining the principles of full-time and distance study.
3. For each doctoral study programme, the standard length of study (Section 44(2) of the Act) and the maximum period of study are set. The standard length of study in a doctoral degree programme shall be at least three and not more than four years. The maximum length of study to meet all requirements arising from the study programme, including the completion of the state doctoral examination and the public defence of the dissertation, is seven years.
4. The basic unit of the doctoral study programme is study subjects (hereinafter referred to as the "subject"), which can be merged into modules. The doctoral study programme distinguishes subjects according to their status into subjects:
 - a) compulsory, the completion of which is a condition for completing studies in the relevant doctoral degree programme,
 - b) compulsory electives, which are part of the module from which it is necessary to complete a specified minimum number of courses or to obtain a set minimum number of credits to complete studies in the relevant doctoral degree programme,
 - c) selection, which are other subjects of the relevant doctoral study program.Similarly, the doctoral study programme distinguishes the statutes of modules.
5. The time and content sequence of courses and their modules is determined by each student's individual study plan.
6. In accordance with the accreditation or authorisation to carry out a doctoral degree programme, the implementation of the individual study plan may be determined by a credit system based on the principles of the European Credit Transfer System (ECTS). In this case, the recommended annual study plan is evaluated by the number of 60 credits and the number of credits assigned to each course expresses the average level of study load required for its successful completion in accordance with ECTS principles.

Art. 3 Doctoral Board

1. For each doctoral degree programme implemented, a doctoral board is established to monitor and evaluate studies in that programme.
2. The chairman of the doctoral board is the guarantor of the doctoral study programme. His appointment and dismissal by the Dean, as well as his/her responsibilities, powers and responsibilities, are determined by Article 18 of the UJEP Statute and, within its limits, by these Study and Examination Regulations.
3. The members of the Doctoral Board shall be appointed by the Dean on a proposal from the guarantor of the doctoral study programme and after the opinion of the Scientific or Artistic Board of the Faculty for a period of five years.
4. The function of a member of the Doctoral Board shall be here by:
 - a) the expiry of the period for which he has been appointed,
 - b) the date of service of the written declaration by which the member resigned from his/her post to the Dean,
 - c) the date on which he was removed by the Dean after prior comments from the guarantor of the doctoral degree programme and the scientific or artistic board of the faculty, in the case of a doctoral degree programme carried out in cooperation with another institution in accordance with the concluded agreement,
 - d) the date on which the accreditation of the doctoral degree programme ceased or for which the right to pursue a doctoral degree programme ceased.
5. The Doctoral Board shall in particular:
 - a) prepares the admission procedure for study,
 - b) proposes to the Dean the composition of admissions committees,

- c) proposes to the Dean a supervisor,
 - d) determines the requirements for individual study plans, dissertations, state doctoral examinations and public defences of dissertations,
 - e) approves the individual study plan of the student and its changes on the proposal of the supervisor,
 - f) approves the topic of the student's dissertation on a proposal from the supervisor,
 - g) in cooperation with the supervisor, determines the framework content of the student's state doctoral examination,
 - h) proposes to the Dean the composition of the examination board for state doctoral examinations and examination committees for public defence of dissertations (hereinafter referred to as the "Defence Committee").
 - i) proposes to the Dean opponents the dissertation of the student,
 - j) discusses the annual assessment of the student's studies submitted by the supervisor,
 - k) proposes to the Dean students to award an extraordinary scholarship,
 - l) in case of non-compliance with an individual study plan for which there are no compelling reasons, he/she proposes to the Dean to initiate proceedings for the termination of the student's studies pursuant to § 56 para. In this article, it is not the first time that the commission has (b) the law.
6. The Doctoral Board is governed by a valid accreditation or authorisation to carry out a doctoral study programme, these Study and Examination Regulations and:
- a) in the case of the activity referred to in paragraph 5, the following shall be added: c) the Dean's Directive regulating the requirements for conducting dissertations;
 - b) in the case of the activity referred to in paragraph 5, the following shall be added: k) The Scholarship Regulations of the UJEP or the Faculty Scholarship Regulations, if its internal regulation (Section 33(2) (f) of the Act).
7. The Doctoral Board will assess the documentation of his creative and pedagogical activities for each first-time proposed supervisor.

Art. 4 Admission to study

1. The admission procedure for study in a doctoral study programme is governed by Section 50 of the Act.
2. The basic conditions for admission to study in a doctoral degree programme are determined by Sections 48 and 49 of the Act and, within their limits, by Art. 20 to 22 of the Statute of UJEP.
3. The Doctoral Board approves the proposal for further conditions for admission to study in a doctoral study programme, in particular the form and framework content of the entrance examination, the criteria for its evaluation, possible requirements for the applicant's medical fitness and the maximum number of applicants accepted, before submitting the proposal to the academic senate of the faculty.
4. As a rule, a professional debate is included in the draft content of the entrance examination, which, on the basis of proof of the applicant's own creative work (especially thesis, publications), will allow to assess his/her qualifications for independent creative activity in the field and his/her communication skills in at least one world language.
5. Entrance examinations are held in front of the admission committee. If a larger number of candidates meet the conditions for admission, the ranking of the best ones shall decide.

Art. 5 Enrolment in studies

1. By communicating the decision to accept the study, the applicant has the right to enrolment in the study.
2. Enrolment in studies takes place on the dates set by the faculty schedule.
3. The applicant is obliged to appear in person at the specified date for enrolment in the study. Before this date and in exceptional cases no later than 5 days after that date, the applicant may apologize in writing; if the apology is found to be reasonable, an alternative date for registration shall be set for the tenderer. The dean decides the reason for the apology.
4. If the applicant does not apply for an alternative term in writing within the specified period, or if he/she does not appear at the specified alternative date, his/her right to enrolment in the study shall be vacated. This provision shall not apply if the tenderer has not appeared on an alternative date for particularly serious reasons.
5. On the day of enrolment in studies, the applicant becomes a student.

**Art. 6
Trainer**

1. The supervisor is appointed by the Dean on a proposal from the Doctoral Board.
2. On the proposal of the supervisor, on the basis of the annual assessment of the student by the doctoral board or at the request of the student, the doctoral board may propose to the Dean a change in the person of the supervisor.
3. In particular, the supervisor shall:
 - a) prepares a proposal for an individual study plan of the student,
 - b) proposes consultants and the theme of the dissertation,
 - c) monitor the course of the student's studies and provide consultations;
 - d) annually evaluates the fulfilment of the individual study plan of the student and submits the resulting annual assessment of the student for discussion to the doctoral board,
 - e) cooperates with the doctoral board to determine the requirements for the student's state doctoral examination.

**Art. 7
Individual student study plan**

1. The course of study is governed by the individual study plan of the student, which, after consultation with the student, is proposed by the supervisor and approved by the doctoral board. The plan shall be binding on all interested parties.
2. The individual study plan must be drawn up for the student at the beginning of his/her studies in such a way as to allow him/her to complete his/her studies at the standard time of study. Depending on the student's progress in the study, it can be changed during the annual assessments of the study. Changes to the curriculum must be discussed and approved in a similar way to the default curriculum.
3. The individual study plan determines in particular:
 - a) the content focus of his independent educational and creative activities (with regard to the field specialization and the thesis topic),
the subjects and modules thereto which he is obliged to complete.
the timing of the studies established in accordance with paragraph 2 and, in the case of the application of the credit system, also in accordance with Article 2(2). 6.
4. Among the subjects determined by the individual curriculum referred to in paragraph 3, the following shall be added: b) in particular include: (a) subjects with teaching (lectures, seminars or managed independent study with consultations),
b) subjects related to the student's creative activities, in particular publishing creative results, study stays and internships in other workplaces, participation in conferences, seminars, summer schools etc.,
c) in the case of full-time study, subjects related to the pedagogical activity of the student.
5. The maximum extent of the student's free teaching activities pursuant to paragraph 4 shall be 100 % of the amount of the student's free teaching activities. c) is 4 hours per week for up to four semesters of his/her studies.
6. A student who studies in a doctoral degree programme carried out in a foreign language pursuant to § 58 para. 4 of the Act, has the right that teaching, inspections of the performance of the course studies, evaluation of the performance of the individual study plan, state doctoral examination, public defence of the dissertation, as well as negotiations in matters of administration of study matters take place in the language in which the doctoral study programme is carried out.

**Art. 8
Control of course study**

1. In the form of a study check of courses stipulated by an individual study plan, there is a credit or examination. 2. In the case of checking the study of subjects by credit:
 - a) the student has the right in the academic year to make credits only from subjects approved for this year in his/her individual study plan;
if the doctoral board so chooses, the credit may be held before the Commission;

- c) within the framework of the dates that are listed for the credit, the student has the right in one academic year to one corrective term and during the entire study to two corrective dates of total credit,
 - (d) credits shall be assessed: credited — not credited;
 - e) if the student is not in a credit for serious reasons, he/she is obliged to apologize to the teacher or chairman of the commission within 5 days of the date of credit. If the student does not appear without apology, or if his/her apology is found to be unfounded, the result is classified as not set off. The reasoning for the apology shall be decided by the speaker or chairman of the commission,
 - f) the method of recording the credits granted is laid down by the Dean.
3. In the case of checking the study of subjects by means of an examination:
- a) the student has the right to take exams in the academic year only from subjects approved for this year in his/her individual study plan;
- if the doctoral board so decided, the examination may be held before the Commission;
- (c) each examination is public for members of the UJEP Academic Community; for capacity reasons, public participation may be adequately reduced,
 - (d) exam dates are usually written during the examination period, but may also be listed at other times of the academic year; if this period is a holiday, the holding of the examination is subject to the consent of the student,
 - e) within the framework of the dates that are listed for the examination, the student has the right to one corrective term in one academic year and to two corrective examination dates in total during the whole study period; an extraordinary corrective date for the examination is not accepted,
 - (f) the tests shall be evaluated: passed — failed;
 - g) if the student is not in a place for serious reasons, he/she is obliged to apologize to the examiner or the chairman of the committee within 5 days of the exam date. If a student does not appear without apology, or if his/her apology is found to be unfounded, he/she is treated as if he or she had failed the exam. The reasoning of the apology shall be decided by the examiner or the Chairman of the Commission,
 - h) the method of recording the results of the examinations is determined by the Dean.
4. In a doctoral study programme in which the credit system is applied, the student receives credits assigned to these subjects for completing the courses specified in the individual study plan.
5. If a student seriously violates their proper course at a credit or examination, it shall be classified at credit and shall not pass the mark for the examination. A gross violation of the rules when fulfilling the requirements of the credit or taking of the examination may be considered a disciplinary offence within the meaning of Section 64 of the Act.

Art. 9

Evaluation of the implementation of the individual study plan

1. As a rule, the student reports once a year at the training workplace about his/her studies, the results of solving creative tasks and the preparation of a dissertation.
2. Each year, within the deadline set by the Dean, the student draws up a written report on the results of his/her activities, which is one of the documents for the annual evaluation of the student by the supervisor.
3. The supervisor's procedure for the annual assessment of a student shall be governed by Article 6(1) of the Basic Regulation. In this article, it is not the first time that the commission has d).

Art. 10

State Doctoral examination

1. During the state doctoral examination (hereinafter referred to as the "SDZ"), the student is to demonstrate mastery of theories and gain the required knowledge and knowledge from the fields of study, including methodological basis of scientific work and creative procedures. Its content is based mainly on the topic of the dissertation and the individual study plan of the student.
2. The framework content of the SDZ shall be determined by the Doctoral Board in cooperation with the supervisor. The SDZ precedes the public defence of the dissertation.
3. A student can apply to the SDZ after completing all compulsory subjects and modules stipulated by his/her individual study plan.

4. The SDZ takes place in front of the examination board. The Commission shall be permanent or appointed on an ad hoc. The Chairman and members of the Examination Board shall be appointed by the Dean on a proposal from the Doctoral Board, in accordance with Section 53(1) of the Board of Directors. 2 and 3 of the Act. The Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports ('the Ministry of Education,' youth and sports may appoint other members of the examination board from among the important experts in the field.
5. The student trainer shall also be a member of the examination board.
6. The examination board shall be at least five members, i.e., consisting of a chairman and at least four members. The presence of at least three fifths of the members of the Commission, including the Chairman, is required for the SDZ to take place.
7. The deliberations of the Examination Board shall be chaired by the Chairman. In a closed session, the examination board will evaluate the course of the SDZ and decide by voting on its classification.
8. The SDZ is classified as non-successful. If a student is classified as not ranked in the SDZ, the justification communicated to the student shall be entered in the report.
9. If a student does not appear without apology to the SDZ, or his/her apology is not accepted, he/she is considered as not to have benefited from the exam. An apology shall be submitted within one week of the relevant SDZ date to the Dean, who will definitively decide on the acceptance of the apology.
10. The method of recording the results of the SDZ shall be determined by the Dean.
11. The SDZ may be held by the student no more than twice; the SDZ's extraordinary corrective term is not accepted. If the student does not work out the SDZ even within the corrective period, the proceedings for the completion of studies pursuant to § 56 para. In this article, it is not the first time that the commission has (b) the law. The procedure for deciding on this matter is governed by Section 68 of the Act.
12. Article 9(1) shall apply to the course of the SDZ. 5 similarly.

Art. 11 Dissertation

1. The study in the doctoral study programme ends with the preparation of the dissertation and its public defence, the performance of which is conditional on the previous successful composition of the SDZ. The dissertation must provide an original solution to the problem. The result of the thesis, or at least part of it, must be an eligible output in the field (e.g., an article in a professional periodical, a professional book, a chapter in a professional book, etc.). The dissertation may be written in English.
2. The student submits a dissertation in the study department of the faculty together with an application for public defence and thesis.
3. The thesis contains in a concise form the basic ideas, methods, results and conclusions of the dissertation. Theses can be written in English. Thesis will be received by all members of the Defence Committee and opponents.
4. The method of submission, the scope, form and formalities of the dissertation and its theses are determined by the Dean's Directive.
5. The dissertation is given for assessment by two opponents (at least one of them outside the UJEP) proposed by the Doctoral Board to the Dean.
6. Opponents are distinguished experts in the relevant field of science. It cannot appoint a supervisor or consultant to the student or persons who participated in the preparation of the dissertation or part thereof as co-authors. Nor can one be appointed as an opponent who is directly superior to the student. Nor can all opponents be employees or employers of the same legal entity. If the opponent does not prepare an opinion within two months of the date of appointment, the Dean may appoint another opponent. Opposition opinions must be sent to all members of the Defence Committee and to the student at least 15 days before the public defence.
7. The Doctoral Board shall propose a defence committee of at least five members. The supervisor is not a member but has an advisory voice. At least two members are outside the UJEP. The Chairman of the Defence Committee and its members shall be appointed by the Dean. The presence of at least two thirds of the members of the Commission, including the Chairman, is required for the public defence.
8. In the public part of the defence, the student will acquaint those present with the basic thesis and the results achieved, listen to and respond to the opinions of opponents (with whom he/she is familiar with in advance) and the supervisor. Anyone present can participate in the discussion of the work.
9. At the end of the public part of the defence, a secret vote by the Defence Committee shall be held for the exclusion of the public. The final evaluation defended them – he did not defend them. In order to successfully defend the dissertation, a majority of the committee members present must speak.

10. The outcome of the vote shall be announced by the Chairman of the Defence Committee at an ongoing public session. A record shall be made of the outcome of the defence and voting committee hearings, which shall be signed by the Chairman and the members of the Defence Committee present.
11. After successfully defending the dissertation, the student is awarded the academic title "Doctor" (in short, "Ph.D." given after the name).
12. In the event of an unsuccessful public defence of the dissertation, the student may repeat it after the thesis has been done. It is possible to repeat a public defence only once, not earlier than six months later than and no later than one year after the date of its unsuccessful action. An extraordinary remediable date for a public defence is not accepted. If the student does not defend the dissertation even if it is repeated, the procedure for completion of studies pursuant to § 56 para. In this article, it is not the first time that the commission has (b) the law. The procedure for deciding on this matter is governed by Section 68 of the Act.
13. If the dissertation is found to violate the basic principles of the ethics of independent work (in particular the intentional unauthorized use of the work of another person grossly infringing the laws governing the protection of intellectual property pursuant to Section 47c(2) of the Act, drafted by the other person), disciplinary proceedings will be initiated with the student. The defense of such work is not accepted.

Art. 12 Publication of dissertations

1. The dissertation submitted for public defence shall, in accordance with specific legislation¹⁾ be made available for consultation to the public at least five working days before the defence takes place at the UJEP training site where the public defence of the dissertation will take place. In the case of works of art, this will be made available to the public at the place of their display or other presentation.
2. Dissertations for which a public defence has taken place, including opinions of opponents and records of the course and outcome of the defence, shall be published in the UJEP's final thesis database in accordance with specific legislation¹⁾. The dissertation, which has already been published in another way, is not published.
3. By submitting the dissertation, the author agrees to publish his work under the law, regardless of the outcome of the public defence; the conditions for deferment of publication are regulated by § 47b para. 4 of the law.
4. The details of the disclosure and publication of the works, including the opinions of opponents and records of the course and outcome of the defence and the details of the management of their database, shall be laid down in the Rector's Directive.

Art. 13 Interruption of studies

1. The dean decides on the interruption of students' studies at the student's request in accordance with paragraphs 2 and 3.
2. The student submits an application for interruption of studies in writing, always stating the reason and the period for which he intends to interrupt the course. For deciding on this application:
 - a) If a student applies for a stay of studies due to pregnancy, childbirth, or parenthood for a period for which his maternity or parental leave would otherwise last, or because of the taking over of custody of the child replacing the care of the parents for a period corresponding to maternity or parental leave, the Dean will comply with this request (Section 54(1) of the Act of Accession). 2 of the Act). The student's studies are interrupted for the entire semesters, possibly increased by the time remaining until the end of the semester in which the application was submitted. The period of interruption of studies according to the previous sentence is not included in the total period of interruption of studies. Studies can be interrupted repeatedly in this way.
 - b) In other cases, the Dean may interrupt his/her studies at the request of the student recommended by his supervisor no more than twice, for the whole semesters, or increased by the period remaining until the end of the semester in which the application was submitted. The total period of interruption of studies referred to in the first sentence may not exceed three years.
3. If the reasons for interruption of studies cease, the Dean may, at the written request of the person who has interrupted his/her studies, terminate the interruption of studies even before the expiry of the specified period of interruption of studies.

¹⁾ *Zákon č. 121/2000 Sb., o právu autorském, o právech souvisejících s právem autorským a o změně některých zákonů, ve znění pozdějších předpisů,*

4. The study cannot be interrupted retrospectively. On the date of interruption of studies, or in the case of studies in parallel study programmes, the date of interruption of studies for the last of them, the person ceases to be a student of UJEP. The period of interruption of studies is not included in either the standard period or the maximum period of study.
5. Upon expiry of the period for which the study has been interrupted, the person is entitled to re-enrolment in the study. The date of re-enrolment in studies is set in the Dean's decision to discontinue studies (Section 68(1) (c) of the Act). On the day of re-enrolment, the person who has been interrupted becomes a student of UJEP.
6. The provisions of Article 5(1) shall apply to re-enrolment. 3 and 4 similarly. A person who has lost the right to re-enrolment is initiated proceedings for the completion of studies pursuant to § 56 para. In this article, it is not the first time that the commission has the law and Article 15(1) of the Act; In this article, it is not the first time that the commission has a. The decision in this case is covered by Section 68 of the Act.
7. UJEP and faculties do not guarantee that there will be no change or cancellation of the degree programme during the interruption of studies. In the event of the termination of the accreditation of a degree programme or the termination of the right to pursue a degree programme, it is the responsibility of the UJEP to ensure that the student can continue to study the same or similar degree programme at UJEP, its faculties or another higher education university.

Art. 14

Special provisions on the course of study

1. If, in connection with the care of the child, the student asks the Dean in writing to extend the deadlines for fulfilling his/her study duties for the period during which it would otherwise take to take his maternity leave, provided that he/she does not interrupt his/her studies at that time, the Dean will comply with this request (Section 54a(1) of the Act). The period during which maternity leave would otherwise have lasted under the first sentence shall not be set off against the time limits applicable to the assessment of the course of studies referred to in Article 15(1). In this article, it is not the first time that the commission has (c) and the fulfilment of the time allocation of studies established pursuant to Article 7(1) of the Basic Regulation; In this article, it is not the first time that the commission has c).
2. A student who submits to the faculty a certificate 100% of the fact that he/she is a sports representative of the Czech Republic in the sports sector, issued by a sports organization representing this sports sector in the Czech Republic, has the right to adjust the course of studies in connection with this fact, which will allow the student to participate in the representation and necessary preparation (Section 54a(2) of the Act).

Art. 15

Completion of studies

1. The course is duly completed in accordance with § 55 para. 1 of the Act by completing studies in the relevant study programme. The date of completion of studies in a doctoral degree programme is the day on which the public defence of the dissertation prescribed at the end of the study was carried out.
2. Furthermore, the course shall be completed:
 - a) leaving studies (Section 56(1) (a) of the Act),
 - b) if the student does not meet the requirements arising from the study programme according to these Study and Examination Regulations (Section 56(1) (.b) of the Act),
 - c) in other ways, pursuant to Paragraph 56 of the 1990 Act of Accession, the Commission shall, in accordance with the procedure laid down In this article, it is not the first time that the commission has to (h) of the Law.
3. Non-compliance with the requirements arising from a degree programme under these Study and Examination Regulations means:
 - a) no-show for re-enrolment in studies within the given date pursuant to Article 13(1) of the Basic Regulation; 6 in the event that the person to which the studies have been interrupted does not apologize in writing within five days of that date or his apology is not accepted, or on an alternative date pursuant to Article 13(1) of the Basic Regulation. (6) where such an alternative date has been specified,
 - b) non-compliance with an individual study plan for which the doctoral board referred to in Article 3(1) of the Basic Regulation has not yet been approved. In this article, it is not the first time that the commission has l) proposes to the Dean to initiate proceedings for the termination of studies of the student,
 - c) exceeding the maximum period of study referred to in Article 2(1) of the Basic Regulation; 3,
 - d) failure to comply with the requirements of the course study check even at the third date of its performance pursuant to Article 8 odst. 2 písm. c) or art. 8 paragraph 3 letter. e),

e) failure to comply with the requirements of the state doctoral examination or within the corrective date of its performance pursuant to Article 10(1) of the Basic Regulation. 11,

f) failure to comply with the requirements of the public defence of the dissertation even at the second date of its performance pursuant to Article 11(1) of the Basic Regulation; 12.

Internal regulations of Jan Evangelista Purkyně University in Usti nad Labem

4. In the case of the assessment of the time limits referred to in paragraph 3, the commission shall, in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 1 (c) the maximum period of study referred to in Article 2(1) shall be compared until the total period of study. 3 does not include the period between enrolment in studies and the date of commencement of the first teaching period in the student's studies, provided that enrolment in studies preceded that date.
5. A student who intends to leave his/her studies shall notify the Dean in writing through the study department of the relevant faculty. He can do so at any time during his studies.
6. The date of completion of the studies referred to in paragraph 2 shall be 1 January 2006. a) is the date of delivery of the student's written declaration of leaving the study.
7. The date of completion of the studies referred to in paragraph 2 shall be 1 January 2006. (b) is the date on which the decision in question became final. It is within the law to take a decision which has been notified and which can no longer be appealed. The decision shall become final on the day after the time limit for lodging an appeal has expired in vain, or on the date on which the student has waived his right to appeal in writing, or on the date on which he or she was notified of the rector's decision.
8. The date of completion of the studies referred to in paragraph 2 shall be 10 days from the date of completion of the studies referred to in paragraph 2. (c) is the date laid down in § 56 para. 2 of the law.

Article. 16
Service of documents,
appeal procedure

1. The service of documents to students and applicants is governed by Art. 24 of the UJEP Statute.
2. The student may oppose the dean's decision in the case pursuant to § 68 para. 1 law to file an appeal. In the case of a law that does not contain special regulations, Act No. 500/2004 Coll., Administrative Regulations, as amended, shall apply to the proceedings on this appeal.

Art. 17
Acceptance of candidates pursuant to § 49 para. 3 of the Act

1. The authorisation of admission of tenderers pursuant to § 49 para. 3 of the Act is decided by the Dean in accordance with other conditions for admission (Art. 4(3)).
2. A candidate who has completed part of his/her studies at another higher education university in the Czech Republic or at a foreign higher education university in the same or related study programme may be admitted to a study programme carried out by the faculty. Within the faculty, the student may be allowed to change the form of study in the same study programme.
3. Recognition of the results of studies completed by the student before admission to study pursuant to paragraph 1 shall be decided by the Dean, on the basis of the recommendations of the Doctoral Board.
4. Admission to study pursuant to paragraph 1 shall normally take place on the date of commencement of the relevant semester.

Art. 18
Transitional provisions

For as long as article II(1) of the Basic Regulation is complied with, the Commission shall, in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 14, adopt the measures referred to in Article 1 4 of Act No. 137/2016 Coll., maintained the breakdown into study fields, the provisions of this Study and Examination Regulations on Study Programmes apply mutatis mutandis to study fields.

Art. 19
Final provisions

1. The Study and Examination Regulations for Study in Doctoral Study Programmes UJEP registered by the Ministry of Education, Education, Government of Education, Government of Education, The Republic of Education, etc. on 1 February 2013 under the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports – 4230/2013-30 are

deleted.

2. These Study and Examination Regulations have been approved in accordance with Section 9(1) of the Basic Regulation. In this article, it is not the first time that the commission has b) point 3 of the Act by the Academic Senate of the UJEP on 28 June 2017.
3. This Study and Examination Procedure takes effect pursuant to § 36 para. 4 act on the date of registration of the Ministry of Health, 4. These Study and Examination Regulations shall take effect on 1 September 2017.

The amendments to these Study and Examination Regulations have been approved in accordance with Section 9(1) of the Basic Regulation. In this article, it is not the first time that the commission has b) point 3 of the Act by the Academic Senate of the UJEP on 25 April 2018 (amendments 1).

9

Internal regulations of Jan Evangelista Purkyně University in Usti nad Labem

Amendments to these Study and Examination Regulations (Amendments 1) shall take effect pursuant to Section 36(1) of the Basic Regulation. 4 act on the date of registration of the Ministry of Education for Youth and Sports

Amendments to these Study and Examination Regulations (Amendment 1) take effect on registracion Ministry of Education for Youth and Sports.

doc. RNDr. Martin Balej, Ph.D., v. r.

rector